

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



ms

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/771,186	01/29/2001	Lawrence Bernard Kool	RD-28,011	7166
75	90 12/06/2002			
JAMES J. BINDSEIL			EXAMINER	NER
DOUGHERTY, TWO FAIRVIE	, CLEMENTS & HOFER EW CENTER		CARRILLO, BII	BI SHARIDAN
6230 FAIRVIEW ROAD SUITE 400 CHARLOTTE, NC 28210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	7
			DATE MAILED: 12/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		KOOL ET AL.				
Office Action Summany	09/771,186					
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sharidan Carrillo	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sh et with th correspond nce address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I36(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MCe, cause the application to become A g date of this communication, even it	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30						
Zaji i i ii a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are. a) accepted of b) objected to b) the Dramatic Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	,					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	, 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/771,186

Art Unit: 1746

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 9, 10, 12, 15-19, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sullivan et al., for the reasons recited in paragraph 4 of the previous Office Action of 8/14/02.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

· Application/Control Number: 09/771,186

Art Unit: 1746

5. Claims 6, 14, and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan and further in view of Awad, for the reasons recited in paragraph 6 of the previous Office Action of 8/14/02.

- 6. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan and further in view of Awad and Matsuno et al., for the reasons recited in paragraph 7 of the previous Office Action of 8/14/02.
- 7. Claims 10-11, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan for the reasons recited in paragraph 8 of the previous Office Action of 8/14/02.
- 8. Claims 27-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan and further in view of Grunwald., for the reasons recited in paragraph 9 of the previous Office Action of 8/14/02.

Response to Arguments

- 9. The objection to claim 1 has been withdrawn in view of corrections made by applicant.
- 10. Applicant argues that Sullivan fails to teach contacting the oxide material with an aqueous composition comprising an acid having the formula HxAF6 or precursors of the acid.

Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive since "precursors of the acid", as defined in the instant specification on page 7, lines 20-29, reads on "Na3AlF6", as taught by Sullivan. Further, page 2, lines 45-50 of Sullivan specifically teaches that Na3AlF6 dissociated in the aqueous solution. The dissociation of Na3AlF6 inherently results in the acid being formed.

Applicant further argues that Sullivan fails to teach H2SiF6 or H2ZrF6 or mixtures thereof. Sullivan is relied upon to teach a composition comprising a fluorine-containing species

Application/Control Number: 09/771,186

Art Unit: 1746

such as Na3AlF6. The secondary reference of Awad is relied upon to cure the above efficiency. Awad is relied on to show that equivalent compounds such as H2ZrF6 can be used.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharidan Carrillo whose telephone number is 703-308-1876. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:00a.m-2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on 703-308-4333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7719 for regular communications and 703-305-7719 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/771,186

Art Unit: 1746

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Sharidan Carrillo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

bsc December 3, 2002

> SHARIDAN CARRILLO SRIMARY EXAMINER